UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/672,121	09/26/2003	Chia-Pin Chiu	884.465US2	7747	
	7590 04/04/2007 I, LUNDBERG, WOESSN	IER & KLUTH, P.A.	EXAM	INER	
P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		DUONG	EXAMINER DUONG, THO V		
MINNEAFOLIS	5, MIN 33402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3744		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	ITHS	04/04/2007	РАР	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	•		S
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/672,121	CHIU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Tho v. Duong	3744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION.  In reply be timely filed  INTHS from the mailing date of this capandoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	11 Januarv 2007.		
,	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all		tters, prosecution as to the	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und			
Disposition of Claims		•	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation	· ,	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	narawii nom oonolaaration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ind/or election requirement		
, = , , = , ,	marci ologich requientient.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐			
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	ГО-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	<b>V</b> 1		
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			Stage
application from the International B		THEODINGS III WIIIO MANORIA	ciago
* See the attached detailed Office action for		ot received	
Geo the attached detailed Office detail for t	a not of the option the		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Prelitioniscs Chied (1.10 cost) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	8) Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Motice of 6) Other:	f Informal Patent Application	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/11/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument that reference to Web fails to disclose a distribution of carbon fibers suspended within a matrix material (claim 1) and the fibers are suspended with a substantially random orientation in three dimensions or movable upon flow of the matrix material, has been very carefully considered but is not found to be persuasive. Web discloses (figures 2 and 3) that the carbon fibers of woven or matted or felted fabric made from shards, are well embedded within the matrix material (16), wherein the matrix material is a liquid such as oil. It is inherently that carbon fibers are suspended within the liquid since the fibers are not rigidly attached to the liquid. Regarding the limitation of random orientation in three dimensions and movable fibers upon flow of matrix material, the fact that carbon fibers of Web located within a pool of liquid gives the fibers the freedom of movement or orientation in any direction within the liquid since, again the fibers are not rigidly attached to the liquid.

Furthermore, applicant's argument against the 112<sup>th</sup> 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph rejection, has been very carefully considered but is not found to be persuasive. The subject matter of a non-curable viscous matrix material, is still not found on page 8, lines 24-30 (cited by the applicant) or any part of the specification. If applicant believes that the composition of silicon grease or oil alone can convey the conclusion non-curable viscous matrix material, applicant will be advised to see Endo et al as an evidence (US 2007/0042533A1, paragraph 1) that the silicon grease can also be cured. Furthermore, the subject matter that the orientation and location of carbon fibers relative to each other are movable upon flow of matrix material, is still not found on page 9, lines 8-19

and figure 5 (cited by the applicant) or any part of the specification. Regarding the if statement of the applicant to support the claimed subject matter, the examiner is not persuasive because the thermal conduction material can be still reduced in thickness by just moving the matrix material thickness without moving the carbon fibers.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-8 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claimed subject matter of "non-curable viscous matrix" and "a distribution of carbon fibers within the viscous matrix material wherein orientation and location of carbon fiber relative to each other are movable upon flow of the matrix material" are not supported by the original disclosure. There is no description of the claimed subject matter in the original disclosure.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an

international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Webb (US 6,542,371). Webb discloses (column 2, lines 28-column 3, line 7) an electronic system that has a thermal interface material comprising a viscous matrix such as silicon oil thermal grease mixed with carbon fibers and thermally conductive particles such as aluminum or copper. Regarding to the limitations that has been rejected under 1st paragraph, Webb discloses (column 4, lines 12-26 and column 5, lines 9-50) that the matrix material is silicon oil, which is the same material as claimed. Therefore, it is capable of being a non-curable viscous matrix material as claimed. Furthermore, with respect to a distribution and location of carbon fibers relative to each other are movable upon flow of the matrix material and suspending a number of carbon fibers with a substantially random orientation in three dimension within the non-adhesive viscous matrix material, can be found in (column 4, lines 12-26; lines 42-65 and column 5, lines 9-50). Specifically, Web discloses that the matrix material (16) is a liquid substance and the carbon fibers can be that the carbon fibers of woven or matted or felted fabric made from shards, are well embedded within the liquid (figures 2 and 3). It is inherently that carbon fibers are suspended within the liquid since the fibers are not rigidly attached to the liquid. Regarding the limitation of random orientation in three dimensions and movable fibers upon flow of matrix material, the fact that carbon fibers of Web located within a pool of liquid gives the fibers the freedom of movement or orientation in any direction within the liquid since, again the fibers are not rigidly attached to the liquid.

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C.

102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Regarding applicant's argument of the improper of 102 (e) rejections because of common assignee, the argument is not persuasive. Applicant is reminded to see MPEP 2136.01 [R-3](II).

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ghosh (US 2002/0086600A1) discloses a thermal interface medium.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tho v. Duong whose telephone number is 571-272-4793. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tyler J. Cheryl can be reached on 571-272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tho v Duong

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3744

TD

March 31, 2007